arXiv:1412.0127v1 [q-fin.GN] 29 Nov 2014

A biased view of a few possible components when reflecting on the present decade financial and economic crisis

M. Ausloos*
Beauvallon, r. de la Belle Jardiniere, 483/0021,
B-4031 Liege, Wallonia-Brussels Federation, Belgium

Oct. 02, 2012

Abstract

Is the present economic and financial crisis similar to some previous one? It would be so nice to prove that universality laws exist for predicting such rare events under a minimum set of realistic hypotheses. First, I briefly recall whether patterns, like business cycles, are indeed found, and can be modeled within a statistical physics, or econophysics, framework. I point to a simulation model for describing such so called business cycles, under exo- and endo-genous conditions I discuss self-organized and provoked crashes and their predictions. I emphasize the role of an often forgotten ingredient: the time delay in the information flow. I wonder about the information content of financial data, its mis-interpretation and market manipulation.

1 Introduction

The time is ripe for discussing recent economic and financial crises timing. Are they recurrent? Is there any universality, i.e. "universal laws", in the physics strict concept sense to describe such events? Are the present financial fluctuations, similar or not, to the spectacular financial crashes of stock markets of past years? How quantitative can be a warning? Can one relate economic "theoretical models" to perceived features? Is there any manipulation of "the" economy and financial markets? Are there basic faults, voluntary or not, in markets and economy policies? (The latter question reminds of some argument which at some time claimed that the misuse of the erroneous Black-Scholes equation was the cause of the financial crisis. But is one equation usage only to blame for the crises that existed before the equation, - and for those which have followed?)

previously at GRAPES@SUPRATECS, University of Liège, B-4000 Liège, Euroland; *e-mail address*: marcel.ausloos@ulg.ac.be

There always exists the hope that mathematics can supply tools and methods to predict when events happen, but first one needs to understand the processes that produce them for elaborating realistic evolution equations, - and subsequent forecasting. Thus, economic considerations could be usefully combined with physics models of nature. Working by analogy, econophysicists, *mutatis mutandis*, introduce economic rules and interactions as basic ingredients in concise models, like replacing "spins" by "agents", to mimic social and economic phenomena. Yet, even if econophysicists do control their models, of course, it is known that complex systems generate unpredictable outcomes. However, they present a series of general features that we can study. Whence, I will briefly outline a few considerations on business cycles and on spectacular features like crashes. I will insist upon subtle ingredients which are much part of present economic life, though they existed also much before, i.e. information flow, market manipulations by active agents and by media or politicians.

2 So called cycles

Let us start with some optimistic thought leading to things not necessarily much discussed, though supposedly of common knowledge : "things will go better". This is equivalent to the classical statement that "the darkest hour of all is the hour before day"; equivalently, in french, "après la pluie, le beau temps". A mere meteorological evidence, of no quantitative forecasting value. Necessarily, indeed, after a recession, will come prosperity.

To improve such views, one has imagined to quantify "business cycles", i.e., merely the durations of recession and prosperity time intervals and frequencies. Since 1930 or so, observations of "cycles" had led Kalecki [1] to write about a macrodynamic theory of business cycles. Let Gabsich and Zarnowitz books or Aoki contribution [2, 3, 4] be also mentioned, but there are many other relevant works and many controversies [5] to be but which have been much discussed.

However, it is extremely hard for a physicist to accept the existence of such features as being a cycle, when there are about a little bit more than 100, or even 200, data points, and when the deduced cycle period is about 35, or 55, years, - with large, not to say huge, error bars on this "period" value. Business cycles are still theoretical concepts. NO theory can predict the time interval size of a recession or prosperity; still less its day, or even month, of beginning or end.

2.1 Duration distributions

Yet, following recent practical use of statistical physics concepts in financial matters, Ormerod and Mounfield [6] analyzed Gross Domestic Product (GDP) data of 17 leading capitalist economies from 1870 to 1994 and concluded that the *frequency* of the *duration* of *recessions* is consistent with a power law. The data analysis was challenged by Wright [7] who concluded that the data was consistent with an exponential (Boltzmann-Gibbs) law. The controversy

might stems, as already hinted here above, from the number of data points used in measuring the shortest time intervals for a recession.

Thus, do the recession duration distribution follows a power law or an exponential law [8]? An extended set of GDP data was examined in [8]. It was concluded that a power law led to a better fit than an exponential law over the extended data set. It seemed of interest also to examine *prosperity duration*. Interestingly, the distribution was found to follow a power law [8].

Thus, the arguments of Ormerod and Mounfield about the duration frequency of recessions might be recalled, if not fully approved or considered to be exhaustive: the authors proposed that economic management often prevents recessions lasting for more than 1 year, but if they do last longer, then subjective expectations of growth become depressed and recessions may then occur on all scales of duration, resulting in a power law. They proposed, therefore, that the distribution of such patterns is not determined by a common set of causal factors for all durations, but instead there is a breakdown of scaling for recessions with short duration. Question: can these ideas be extended to the cases of prosperity epochs?

Note that often there is some perception difference between the psychological or even visual data filtering based on the slowest trend, - mathematically, a large window-moving average, and the actual results from statistical analyses, looking at somewhat high frequency data.

2.2 Pulse destabilization

Considering that the economy is basically a bistable (recession/prosperity) system, it was suggested [6] that a characteristic (de)stabilization model be considered. There are many possible theoretical ones, but each should lead to a characteristic (de)stabilization time, in order to be of conceptual value.

However, let it be emphasized that from an economic point of view this type of pulse time values should not be confused with the apparent periodicity (or better periodicities) of business cycles [1, 2, 3, 4], closely related to what can be called a relaxation time, in physics. A pulse (force) duration much differs from the time during which the force strength will have some measurable effect [9, 10]. Note that the pulse application anticipates an up or down turn, or sometimes an acceleration or a deceleration [11, 12]. By "how much?", is a pertinent question. It depends on the pulse strength and its duration, and moreover on what state it is applied, - since the system is always in a dynamic equilibrium!

Marchetti [13] had observed cycles and pulses behavior of economic indicators, leading to "business cycle" features, after correlating them to physical and other patterns of social behavior, rather than to money indicators, - with a period of about 55 years for at least two centuries. The patterns can be expressed in close mathematical form permitting quantitative forecasts to be made. Along such a psychological line of expectations for economy periods, Hohnisch et al. [14] discussed the ups and downs of opinions about recessions and prosperity within a Glauber dynamics evolution frame [15, 16, 17] of a Blume-Capel model [18, 19, 20], which in brief describes "spin 1" particles. Their computer sim-

ulations show that opinions drastically undergo changes from one equilibrium to the other, both having rough but small fluctuations, - as in stochastically resonant-like systems. These opinion swings are random events like radioactive decay, and thus presumably exponentially distributed.

The (observed) power laws [6, 8] thus indicate some coupling between successive swings, which is missing in the simple computer model of Hohnisch et al. (Similarly, the simple random walk model of Bachelier 1900 gives a Gaussian or log-normal distribution of the price fluctuations, and the actually observed power law distribution needs a non-random explanation, like psychological herding etc.). Some further discussion of the meaning of exponential versus power law decays thus would be appropriate, but is outside the scope of the present comments. One attempt, seen as an inverse problem, should be to invent stochastic time series with appropriate structures as in [21, 22].

Note that there are asymmetries in the prosperity and recession sections, with different statistical characteristics. There are also more subsections in the prosperity than in the recession time intervals. This asymmetry much complicates the analysis. Is it time to suggest further work ion the matter? One could make a Detrended Fluctuation Analysis [23, 24, 25], or use other related techniques [26, 27, 67, 68]. This would allow to take into account that overall the Western world had economic growth in the last half century, characterized by a time-averaged growth rate G per year or per quarter. Then a time interval with actual growth above (or below) G could be counted as prosperity (or as a recession). In this way, the distribution and its analysis would be perhaps better suited to finer details, as the fluctuations and their correlations. Quite recently, I showed thatsuch fluctuations in a G averaged over finite time intervals can reveal trends and superposed humps, in some financial data, - surprisingly with regular evolutions of the time interval durations [28].

In concluding this section, I wish to indicate that such successions of recessions and prosperity periods, thus so called business cycles, have pushed several of us into a renewed deal of attention, from analytical and simulation points of view [4, 29, 30, 31].

2.3 ACP model: a Reactive Lattice Gas

In particular, in the so called ACP model [29], one simplifies economic rules to simulate the behaviour of economic systems in changing conditions, e.g. political changes or destruction of economy barriers [29, 32, 33]. This is similar to a Boltzmann approach in which the gas particles are called companies, but this can also be only a name for any economic variable [31]. The company "degree of freedom" (its particle efficiency or fitness f) can be coupled to an external field F, describing the political or /and economic environment. Beside the field, there is some selection pressure sel, which acts as an inverse temperature in a thermodynamic sense [29].

Economy entities were initially randomly placed on a square symmetry lattice. We have also considered a world divided into three regions characterized by different taxes, or economic rules [32]. Three possible events determine the

evolution of the system:

- a company i survives with the probability $p_i = e^{-sel\ \|f_i - F\|}$;
- each company can move on the lattice (horizontally or vertically) one lattice step at a time, if a free space is available;
- if companies "meet" they can set a new firm or can merge; the decision is taken through the strategy parameter b, which is the probability of merging. Since the creation of company is a complementary event the settlement probability is $1 - b$.

The f value of the new firm was considered to be obtained according to various types of memories depending on the f of the company parents. Results of variants of such a model indicate the possibility of finding business cycles [30, 33]. Moreover, in order to investigate the role of the time delay in information flow, the model was adapted to the Ising model of financial markets [34] by introducing interactions between the stock market and the economy as well as the time delay in the information transfer about the economy state [35, 36]. Further work should lead to determine some (de)stabilization time and to more quantitative results for mapping economic reality to the model, i.e. to better describe in an economic way, how the parameters F, f_i and sel can be deduced and more precisely defined, from considerations on "manufacturing" and "agricultural" sectors, "production factors", like "entrepreneurs" and "unskilled labour" , as, e.g., in the Footloose Capital model [37] and its extensions.

3 Financial crashes

3.1 Endogenous vs. Exogenous crashes

Going to the argument of predictability, one has to touch upon the notion of self-organization [38] and of external field influence. In fact, the lack of universality of crises is likely to be found in differences between endogenous and exogenous origins of interactions between agents under their environment constraints, modulated by media information and other agents, inducing different reactions [39, 40, 41]. There is much quantitative difference between endo and exo-genous effects. E.g., a simple concise case which I studied is that of sales in which one can show different relaxation times [42]. Endogenous effects are partially due to psychological impacts of traders and financial manipulators. Not only. Of course, they went to different economic schools. If they went to school, of course they learned different theories, and their gurus forced them into being devoted followers or original contrarians. Exogenous effects emphasize similarity in reactions. Of course, at the microscopic level, people will react in different ways, to an earthquake or a tsunami, but globally the same herding behavior is found. We are educated, told, informed to copy others; yet, there are sometimes contrarians, who thus make "live" evolving in a stochastic way.

The same in economy and finance. Everyone necessarily relies on information for behaving.

Nowadays those informations are much quantified; I do not claim that all computers in all trading firms are based on the same codes, but they rely on similar informations, and regularly accepted theoretical rules. There are variations, but the sell-buy mechanism is intrinsically accepted as the basic ingredient of the financial system, and the instantaneous balance between trades is a strong hypothesis. Of course, there is a relative importance of agents, more than of news, in the evolution of the markets, because one follows "big shots" behaviors. Note that leaders can also manipulate in order to win, - see below.

Computers are supposed to *quasi* react in the same way. However, in most model, time delay in the information flow is *very* often missing. Yet, it is one cause of automatic amplification effects, which are crucial at crises times. Reaction synchronization in crisis or in non-crisis "periods" differs for both exogenous and endogenous crash cases. Fast oscillations are superimposed on low evolutions, or the other way around, as easily understood, but with markedly different time scales. To remain in a sort of "equilibrium dynamics framework model" of markets, and use physics vocabulary, let us write : Similarly, to phase transitions in thermodynamics, one can imagine that a self-organized crash should be independent of external fields, and be typically deterministic, yet containing some uncertainty. However, manipulations can be thought of as external fields.

That is why, when the endogenous multiplicative effect prevails over exogenous causes that one can predict crashes. This was markedly obvious when the Oct. 27, 1997 crash was predicted several months in advance [43, 44, 45, 46, 47], the warning signals being extremely similar to the Oct. 19, 1987 crash analyzed in [48, 49] through the log-periodic functional form

$$y(t) = A + B\left(\frac{t_c - t}{t_c}\right)^{-m} \left[1 + C\left(\omega \ln\left(\frac{t_c - t}{t_c}\right) + \phi\right)\right] \quad (t < t_c). \qquad (1)$$

where t_c is the crash-time or bubble rupture point, - the other symbols being parameters, which can even, by analogy, receive some reasonable interpretation [50]. The same oscillatory pattern before a divergence-like behavior also occurs for the 1929 crash(es). This is as if the market is a physical system at an equilibrium second order phase transition but evolving under non-equilibrium conditions [51, 52, 53].

No consensus exists about the precise (economic or financial) causes, of such types of crashes, but the fits to the financial indices fluctuations are remarkable [54, 55, 56]. A longer, but still incomplete, list of references can be found in [57, 58, 59, 60, 61, 62]. This suggests that for several crashes some underlying "structural" mechanism exists [63, 64]. It is conjectured that this holds for self-organising-like systems. In the case of exogenous effects, unexpected or under manipulation, the universal law, Eq.(1), or its variant [47], has *not* to hold, - see e.g. what has been recently observed and is outlined here below.

3.2 Cerdeira index

Obviously, the question still remains on whether one can be identifying bubbles and subsequent financial crises in real time. To do so, see [65] and [66]. E.g., a measure, called the area variation rate (AVR) index, has been introduced to study the behavior of financial time series in [66]. The index is defined as the "normalized (free) energy" variation, deduced from a sort of "specific heat" integration over "time windows", as in a multifractal approach [67, 68]. This method permits to distinguish and identify rare events, like major financial crises, as the (Oct. 24) 1929 Black Thursday and the (Oct. 19) 1987 Black Monday market crashes and the 2008 Subprime crisis. The analysis has forecasting capabilities, as it has been demonstrated when applying the method to the 2011 market fluctuations. From these results, it appears in fact that the 2011 crisis seems of a different nature from the other three here above mentioned [66]. Should I dare conjecturing that, beside market manipulation, - see below, a structural cause might be the value of the information flow time delay at the various (= different) crisis epochs?

4 Market manipulation

4.1 Market manipulation by agents

Some market manipulation in the financial crisis has been recently evidenced [69].

An analysis of financial industry data, Citigroup's stock, has shown an anomalous increase in borrowed shares at some time, - the selling of which being a large fraction of the usual total trading volume. Such a selling of borrowed shares could not be explained by news events, as there was no corresponding increase in selling by share owners. However a similar number of shares, as the borrowed ones, was returned on a single day six days later. The magnitude and coincidence of borrowing and returning of shares (of Citigroup's) is evidence of a concerted effort to drive down Citigroup's stock price and achieve a profit.

On the other hand, I have come across "obvious" insider trading in one case, based on a DFA data analysis , - I confess it, leading to some personal financial loss. But can a judge rely on an econophysicist suprising finding?, - and could such an analysis be published, as a scientific fact?

It is accepted or admitted as "common knowledge" that markets are not sufficiently transparent to reveal or prevent market manipulation events, like a "bear raid," or insider trading. Note, however, that an appropriate regulation, repealed by the Securities and Exchange Commission in July 2007, the so called "uptick rule", was designed to prevent such market manipulations and even promote so called stability. It was in force from 1938 as a key part of the government response to the 1929 market crash and its aftermath. Not any more. It might be reinstated, see $http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Uptick_rule$.

4.2 Market manipulation by media

The following stems from a private communication by D. Stauffer [70].

Media present Germany as debt-free prigs, and even described Germany as the model of debt delimitation. In reality, according to the Statistics Office of the European Commission, Eurostat, more than two thirds of the 27 states of the European Union have less national debt (with respect to the Gross Domestic Product) than Germany.

In the spring of 2010, Federal Chancellor Angela Merkel suggested in "Bild am Sonntag that permanent "Schuldensünder" should loose their national right to vote in Europe; neither the chancellor nor "Bild" added that Germany should be part of them. Why? ... because they did not know the data, or because they knew that the German people did not know it? The more so, since former chancellor Helmut Schmidt had written in "Die Zeit", in 2011, that only one country fulfilled the two Maastricht criteria (60 percent of debts, and 3 percent yearly new indebtedness = deficit). In fact, 5 European Union states do so: Luxembourg, Sweden, Finland, Estonia, Denmark and (only for 2011) Bulgaria. According to Eurostat, in 2011 (provisionally), in the European Union, the highest national debt ratios were: Greece, Italy, Ireland, Portugal, Belgium, France, Great Britain, Germany, and Hungary.

When, in April 2011, Eurostat released the provisional statistics for 2010, only "Die Welt" among the most important (german) newspapers published them. "Der Spiegel" brought the 2011 crisis as a cover story, but wrote more about Greece than about Germany. *Finally*, in the fall of 2011, newspapers reported in detail an alleged false estimation of the German national debt, as being "small", which was in reality around 55 billions euro.

Of course, media do report dissenting voices: the Luxembourg Minister-Präsident and Chairman of the Euro group, Karl Juncker claimed that Germany has more state debts in percent of the GDP than Spain. Moreover, in one interview with "Der Spiegel" (p. 71, in the 07/2012 issue) financier George Soros said that: "Germany was one of the states, which freely offended the (Maastricht criteria)". However, media are prone to moderate such remarks. E.g. "Der Spiegel" countered: Germany has *occasionally* overdone the three-percent limit in the budgetary deficit.

All this to show how on a specific, but highly political case, media can (by omission or for some other reason which cannot be written here) induce a false vision of a (financial, here) situation.

5 CONCLUSION

Events such as business cycles, market crashes and economic crises are ubiquitous and generate anxiety and panic difficult to control. Explaining, preventing or forecasting them has been the chimera for many economists, politicians and even scientists who have devoted much of their work to the matter. To describe these events, one needs to take into account an enormous number of ... un-

known variables. However, this does not impede anyone from finding temporal correlations or patterns in the interesting time series.

Moreover physics intuition and *ad hoc* statistical models may give some hint on the main highlights because of the pertinent quantification of so called stylized facts. My impression, nevertheless, is that sound scientific work has to fight, and will have often to fight, against (scientific or not) tradition and political constraints.

Acknowledgements

The author thanks Dr. R. Cerqueti for his longtime friendship, but recognizes that a challenge, such as the one leading to the present scientific contribution, was not expected.

References

[1] M. Kalecki, A Macroeconomic Theory of the Business Cycle, *Econometrica* **3** (1935) 327-344; ibid., A Theory of the Business Cycle, *Review of Economic Studies* **4** (1937) 77-97; ibid., Theory of Economic Dynamics: An essay on cyclical and long-run changes in capitalist economy, Monthly Review Press, New York, 1965.

[2] G. Gabisch, H.W. Lorenz, Business Cycle Theory: A survey of methods and concepts, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1989.

[3] V. Zarnowitz, Business Cycles: Theory, History, Indicators, and Forecasting Victor, University of Chicago Press, Chicago, 1992.

[4] M. Aoki, Asymmetrical Cycles and Equilibrium Selection, in *Finitary Evolutionary Economic Models, in Cycles, Growth, and Structural Changes*, L. Punzo, Ed., (Routledge, London, 2001) Ch. 8, pp. 203-218; ibid., A simple model of asymmetrical business cycles: Interactive dynamics of a large number of agents with discrete choices, *Macroecon. Dyn.* **2** (1998) 427-442.

[5] $http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Austrian_business_cycle_theory$

[6] P. Ormerod, C. Mounfield, Power law distribution of duration and magnitude of recessions in capitalist economies: breakdown of scaling, *Physica A* **293** (2001) 573-582.

[7] I. Wright, The duration of recessions follows an exponential not a power law, *Physica A* **345** (2005) 608-610.

[8] M. Ausloos, J. Miśkiewicz, M. Sanglier, The durations of recession and prosperity: Does their distribution follow a power or an exponential law?, *Physica A* **339** (2004) 548-558.

[9] E.W. Montroll, Social dynamics and the quantifying of social forces, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **75** (1978) 4633-4637.

[10] M. Ausloos, Another analytic view about quantifying social forces, *Adv. Complex Syst.* (2012) in press.

[11] M. Ausloos, Statistical Physics in Foreign Exchange Currency and Stock Markets, *Physica A* **285** (2000) 48-65.

[12] N. Vandewalle, M. Ausloos, Coherent and random sequences in financial fluctuations, *Physica A* **246** (1997) 454-459.

[13] C. Marchetti, Fifty-year Pulsation in Human Affairs. Analysis of Some Physical Indicators. *Futures* **17** (1986) 376-388.

[14] M. Hohnisch, S. Pittnauer, S. Solomon, D. Stauffer, Socioeconomic Interaction and Swings in Business Confidence Indicators, *Physica A* **345** (2005) 646-656.

[15] R. J. Glauber, Time-Dependent Statistics of the Ising Model, *J. Math. Phys.* **4** (1963) 294-307.

[16] F. Castiglione, R.B. Pandey, D. Stauffer, Effect of trading momentum and price resistance on stock market dynamics: A Glauber Monte Carlo simulation, *Physica A* **289** (2000) 223-228.

[17] D. Stauffer, Percolation models of financial market dynamics, *Adv. Complex Syst.* **04** (2001) 19-27.

[18] M. Blume, Theory of the First-Order Magnetic Phase Change in UO2, *Phys. Rev.* **141** (1966) 517-524; H. W. Capel, On the possibility of first-order phase transitions in Ising systems of triplet ions with zero-field splitting, *Physica A* **32** (1966) 966-988.

[19] J.A. Plascak, J.G. Moreira, F.C. Sá Barreto, Mean field solution of the general spin Blume-Capel model, *Phys. Lett. A* **173** (1993) 360-364.

[20] D. M. Saul, M. Wortis, D. Stauffer, Tricritical behavior of the Blume-Capel model, *Phys. Rev. B* **9** (1974) 4964-4980.

[21] R. Cerqueti, G. Rotundo, Microeconomic modeling of financial time series with long term memory, Proceedings of IEEE International Conference on Computational Intelligence for Financial Engineering (CIFEr2003), pp. 191-198.

[22] F. Petroni, M. Ausloos, G. Rotundo, Generating synthetic time series from Bak-Sneppen co-evolution model mixtures, *Physica A* **384** (2007) 359-367.

[23] C.-K. Peng, S.V. Buldyrev, S. Havlin, M. Simmons, H.E. Stanley, A.L. Goldberger, *Phys. Rev. E* **49** (1994) 1685-1689.

[24] K. Hu, P.Ch. Ivanov, Z. Chen, P. Carpena, H.E. Stanley, *Phys. Rev. E* **64** (2001) 011114.

[25] M. Ausloos, Statistical physics in foreign exchange currency and stock markets, *Physica A* **285** (2000) 48-65.

[26] J.F. Muzy, E. Bacry, A. Arneodo, Wavelets and multifractal formalism for singular signalsapplication to turbulence data, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **67** (1991) 3515-3518.

[27] J.F. Muzy, E. Bacry, A. Arneodo, The multifractal formalism revisited with wavelets, *Internat. J. Bifur. Chaos* **4** (1994) 245-302 .

[28] M. Ausloos, Econophysics of a religious cult: the Antoinists in Belgium [1920-2000], *Physica A* **391** (2012) 3190-3197.

[29] M. Ausloos, P. Clippe, A. Pękalski, Simple model for the dynamics of correlation in the evolution of economic entities under varying economic conditions, *Physica A* **324** (2003) 330-337.

[30] J. Miśkiewicz, M. Ausloos, A logistic map approach to economic cycles I. The best adapted companies, *Physica A* **336** (2004) 206-214.

[31] M. Ausloos, P. Clippe, J. Miśkiewicz, A. Pękalski, A (reactive) lattice-gas approach to economic cycles, *Physica A* **344** (2004) 1-7.

[32] M. Ausloos, P. Clippe, A. Pękalski,, Model of macroeconomic evolution in stable regionally dependent economic fields, *Physica A* **337** (2004) 269-287.

[33] M. Ausloos, P. Clippe, A. Pękalski, Evolution of economic entities under heterogeneous political/environmental conditions within a Bak-Sneppen-like dynamics, *Physica A* **332** (2004) 394-402.

[34] W.-X. Zhou, D. Sornette, Self-fulfilling Ising Model of Financial Markets, *Eur. J. Phys. B* **55** (2007) 175-181.

[35] J. Miśkiewicz, M. Ausloos, Influence of information flow in the formation of economic cycles, in *The Logistic Map and the Route to Chaos, Understanding Complex Systems*, M. Ausloos, M. Dirickx, Eds., (Springer, Berlin, Heildelberg, 2006) pp. 223-238.

[36] J. Miśkiewicz, M. Ausloos, Delayed information flow effect in economy systems. An ACP model study, *Physica A* **382** (2007) 179-186.

[37] P. Martin, C.A. Rogers, Industrial location and public infrastructure, *J. Int. Econ.* **39** (1995) 335-351.

[38] P. Bak, How Nature Works: the science of self-organized criticality, Oxford UP, Oxford, 1997.

[39] A. Johansen, D. Sornette, Endogenous versus exogenous crashes in financial markets, $http://arxiv.org/abs/cond-mat/0210509v1$.

[40] D. Sornette, A. Helmstetter, Endogenous versus exogenous shocks in systems with memory, *Physica A* **318** (2003) 577-591.

[41] D. Sornette, Endogenous versus exogenous origins of crises, in - Extreme events in nature and society, (Springer, 2006) pp. 95-119.

[42] R. Lambiotte and M. Ausloos, Endo- vs. Exo-genous shocks and relaxation rates in book and music "sales", *Physica A* **362** (2006) 485-494

[43] H. Dupuis, *Trends-Tendances* **38** (1997) 26-27. (in french)

[44] G. Legrand, in *Cash* **38** (1997) 3. (in french)

[45] H. Dupuis, in *Trends-Tendances* **44** (1997) 11. (in french)

[46] G. Legrand, Cash **44** (1997) 3. (in french)

[47] N. Vandewalle, M. Ausloos, How the financial crash of October 1997 could have been predicted, *Eur. J. Phys. B* **4** (1998) 139-141.

[48] J.A. Feigenbaum, P.G.O. Freund, Discrete Scale Invariance in Stock Markets before Crashes, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. B* **10** (1996) 3737-3745.

[49] D. Sornette, A. Johansen, J. P. Bouchaud, Stock Market Crashes, Precursors and Replicas, *J. Phys. I (France)* **6** (1996) 167-175.

[50] M. Ausloos, K. Ivanova and N. Vandewalle, Crashes : symptoms, diagnoses and remedies, in *Empirical sciences of financial fluctuations. The advent of econophysics*, H. Takayasu, Ed. (Springer Verlag, Berlin, 2002) pp. 62-76.

[51] N. Vandewalle, Ph. Boveroux, A. Minguet, and M. Ausloos, The crash of October 1987 seen as a phase transition: amplitude and universality, *Physica A* **255** (1998) 201-210.

[52] M. Ausloos, J.B. Sousa, M.M. Amado, R.P. Pinto, Conditions for Metastable Thermal and Magnetic Domain Structure and for Observable Oscillations in $d\rho/dT$ near an Electrothermal Instability, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **43** (1983) 927-929.

[53] M. Ausloos, Electrothermal Instabilities at Magnetic Critical Points, in *Non linear Phenomena at Phase Transitions and Instabilities*, T. Riste, Ed. (Plenum Press, New York, 1982) pp. 337-341.

[54] D. Grech, Z. Mazur, Can one make any crash prediction in finance using the local Hurst exponent idea?, *Physica A* **336** (2004) 133-145.

[55] D. Grech, G. Pamula, The local Hurst exponent of the financial time series in the vicinity of crashes on the Polish stock exchange market, *Physica A* **387** (2008) 4299-4308.

[56] D. Stauffer, How to get rich with Sornette and Zhou, *Quant. Finance* **2** (2002) 408.

[57] D. Sornette, Critical market crashes, *Phys. Rep.* **378** (2003) 1-98.

[58] D. Sornette, Why Stock Markets Crash (Critical Events in Complex Financial Systems), Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2003.

[59] W.-X. Zhou, D. Sornette, Non-Parametric Analyses of Log-Periodic Precursors to Financial Crashes, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. C* **14** (2003) 1107-1126.

[60] D. Sornette, W.-X. Zhou, Predictability of large future changes in major financial indices, *Int. J. Forecast.* **22** (2006) 153-168.

[61] S. Drożdż, F. Ruf, J. Speth, M. Wójcik, Imprints of log-periodic self-similarity in the stock market, *Eur. J. Phys. B* **10** (1999) 589-593.

[62] J. Kwapień, S. Drożdż, Physical approach to complex systems, *Phys. Rep.* **515** (2012) 115-226.

[63] A. Johansen, D. Sornette, O. Ledoit, Predicting Financial Crashes using discrete scale invariance, *J. Risk* **1** (1999) 5-32.

[64] D. Sornette, Discrete-scale invariance and complex dimensions, *Phys. Rep.* **297** (1998) 239-270.

[65] F. O. Redelico, A.N. Proto, An empirical fractal geometry analysis of some speculative financial bubbles, *Physica A* **391** (2012) 5132-5138.

[66] E. L. Fonseca, F. F. Ferreira, P. Muruganandam, H.A. Cerdeira, Identifying financial crises in real time, $arXiv$: 1204.3136v2.

[67] Th. Lux, M. Ausloos, Market Fluctuations I : Scaling, Multi-scaling and their Possible Origins, in *The Science of Disaster: Scaling Laws Governing Weather, Body, Stock-Market Dynamics*, A. Bunde, J. Kropp, H.-J. Schellnhüber, Eds. (Springer Verlag, Berlin, 2002) pp. 377-413.

[68] M. Ausloos, K. Ivanova, Multifractal nature of stock exchange prices, *Comp. Phys. Commun.* **147** (2002) 582-585.

[69] V. Misra, M. Lagi, Y. Bar-Yam, Evidence of market manipulation in the financial crisis: $http://necsi.edu/research/economics/bearraid.pdf$.

[70] S.M.H. Janssen, D. Stauffer, Schuldenkrise - Eine Kritik an (deutschen) Zeitungen, (in german), private communication.